

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,572	02/08/2001	Angela Speith-Herfurth	6001-0110	5054
29381	7590 10/08/2003	EXAMINER		
THOMAS		TARAZANO, DONALD LA		ALD LAWRENCE
DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC 1901 L STREET NW, SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	12
		DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/762,572	SPEITH-HERFURTH ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,·				
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		of V			

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773

Application No.

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 09/762,572.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicants have added a new limitation to the claims which specifically recites that the interlayer is formed from an isotacticy propylene homopolymer. This limitation would require further consideration.

The examiner wishes to make US 20020071960A1 of record. The published patent application teaches both wax materals in the inner layer (1-3%); [0079] and hydrocarbon wax in the base layer [0034-0035] and describes the same sort of BZD layered structure claimed. The examiner suggests that the applicants refile the application with the wax amount limited to 5 to 40% (claim 2) along with the limitations proposed in the instant amendment.

D. LAWRENCE TARAZAMO PRIMARY EXAMINER